

ON MEAN DIVERGENCE MEASURES

INDER JEET TANEJA

ABSTRACT. *Arithmetic, geometric and harmonic means* are the three *classical means* famous in the literature. Another mean such as *square-root mean* is also known. In this paper, we have constructed divergence measures based on nonnegative differences among these means, and established an interesting inequality by use of properties of *Csiszár's f-divergence*. An improvement over this inequality is also presented. Comparison of new *mean divergence measures* with *classical divergence measures* such as *J-divergence* [10, 11], *Jensen-Shannon difference divergence measure* [3, 15] and *arithmetic-geometric mean divergence measure* [17] are also established.

1. GENERALIZED MEAN OF ORDER t

Let us consider the following well-known mean of order t

$$(1.1) \quad M_t(a, b) = \begin{cases} \left(\frac{a^t + b^t}{2}\right)^{1/t}, & t \neq 0, \\ \sqrt{ab}, & t = 0, \\ \max\{a, b\}, & t = \infty, \\ \min\{a, b\}, & t = -\infty, \end{cases}$$

for all $a, b \in \mathbb{R}$.

It is also well known (ref. Beckenbach and Bellman [1]) that the $M_t(a, b)$ is monotonically non-decreasing function in relation to t . This allow us to conclude the following inequality

$$(1.2) \quad M_{-\infty}(a, b) \leq M_{-1}(a, b) \leq M_0(a, b) \leq M_1(a, b) \leq M_2(a, b) \leq M_{\infty}(a, b),$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} M_{-1}(a, b) &= H(a, b) = \frac{2ab}{a+b} && - \text{Harmonic mean;} \\ M_0(a, b) &= G(a, b) = \sqrt{ab} && - \text{Geometric mean;} \\ M_1(a, b) &= A(a, b) = \frac{a+b}{2} && - \text{Arithmetic mean;} \end{aligned}$$

and

$$M_2(a, b) = S(a, b) = \sqrt{\frac{a^2 + b^2}{2}} \quad - \text{Square root mean.}$$

In view of this we have the following inequality.

$$(1.3) \quad H(a, b) \leq G(a, b) \leq A(a, b) \leq S(a, b).$$

Recently, author [23] improved the above inequality (1.3). Also see Sándor [14] for different kinds of inequalities among the means.

2000 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* 94A17; 26D13.

Key words and phrases. Arithmetic Mean; Geometric Mean; Harmonic Mean; Square- Root Mean; Divergence Measures; Csiszár's f-divergence; Information inequalities.

Let us consider now the following non-negative differences arising due to inequality (1.3).

$$\begin{aligned} M_{SA}(a, b) &= S(a, b) - A(a, b) = \sqrt{\frac{a^2 + b^2}{2}} - \frac{a + b}{2}, \\ M_{SG}(a, b) &= S(a, b) - G(a, b) = \sqrt{\frac{a^2 + b^2}{2}} - \sqrt{ab}, \\ M_{SH}(a, b) &= S(a, b) - H(a, b) = \sqrt{\frac{a^2 + b^2}{2}} - \frac{2ab}{a + b}, \\ M_{AH}(a, b) &= A(a, b) - H(a, b) = \frac{a + b}{2} - \frac{2ab}{a + b}, \\ M_{AG}(a, b) &= A(a, b) - G(a, b) = \frac{a + b}{2} - \sqrt{ab} \end{aligned}$$

and

$$M_{GH}(a, b) = G(a, b) - H(a, b) = \sqrt{ab} - \frac{2ab}{a + b}.$$

In view of (1.2), we have the following inequalities among the *mean difference measures*:

$$(1.4) \quad 0 \leq M_{SA}(a, b) \leq M_{SG}(a, b) \leq M_{SH}(a, b)$$

and

$$(1.5) \quad 0 \leq M_{AG}(a, b) \leq M_{AH}(a, b).$$

2. MEAN DIFFERENCE DIVERGENCE MEASURES

Let

$$\Gamma_n = \left\{ P = (p_1, p_2, \dots, p_n) \mid p_i > 0, \sum_{i=1}^n p_i = 1 \right\}, \quad n \geq 2,$$

be the set of all complete finite discrete probability distributions.

Let us take $a = p_i$ and $b = q_i$ in the differences given above and sum over all $i = 1, 2, \dots, n$, then for all $P, Q \in \Gamma_n$, we have the following *mean divergence measures*:

- **Square root - arithmetic mean divergence**

$$M_{SA}(P||Q) = \sum_{i=1}^n \sqrt{\frac{p_i^2 + q_i^2}{2}} - 1.$$

- **Square root - geometric mean divergence**

$$M_{SG}(P||Q) = \sum_{i=1}^n \left(\sqrt{\frac{p_i^2 + q_i^2}{2}} - \sqrt{p_i q_i} \right).$$

- **Square root - harmonic mean divergence**

$$M_{SH}(P||Q) = \sum_{i=1}^n \left(\sqrt{\frac{p_i^2 + q_i^2}{2}} - \frac{2p_i q_i}{p_i + q_i} \right).$$

- **Arithmetic – geometric mean divergence**

$$M_{AG}(P||Q) = 1 - \sum_{i=1}^n \sqrt{p_i q_i}.$$

- **Arithmetic – harmonic mean divergence**

$$M_{AH}(P||Q) = 1 - \sum_{i=1}^n \frac{2p_i q_i}{p_i + q_i}.$$

- **Geometric – harmonic mean divergence**

$$M_{GH}(P||Q) = \sum_{i=1}^n \left(\sqrt{p_i q_i} - \frac{2p_i q_i}{p_i + q_i} \right) = \sum_{i=1}^n \frac{\sqrt{p_i q_i} (\sqrt{p_i} - \sqrt{q_i})^2}{p_i + q_i}.$$

After simplification, we can write

$$M_{AG}(P||Q) = 1 - B(P||Q) = h(P||Q) = \frac{1}{2} \sum_{i=1}^n (\sqrt{p_i} - \sqrt{q_i})^2.$$

where $B(P||Q)$ is the Bhattacharyya [2] distance and $h(P||Q)$ is the well known Hellinger [9] discrimination.

Also we can write

$$M_{AH}(P||Q) = 1 - W(P||Q) = \frac{1}{2} \Delta(P||Q) = \sum_{i=1}^n \frac{(p_i - q_i)^2}{2(p_i + q_i)},$$

where $W(P||Q)$ is the harmonic mean divergence and $\Delta(P||Q)$ is the well known triangular discrimination.

Some studies on square root - arithmetic mean divergence can be seen in Österreichischer and Vajda [13] and Dragomir et al. [8].

In view of (1.4) and (1.5), we have the following inequalities

$$(2.1) \quad 0 \leq M_{SA}(P||Q) \leq M_{SG}(P||Q) \leq M_{SH}(P||Q)$$

and

$$(2.2) \quad 0 \leq h(P||Q) \leq \frac{1}{2} \Delta(P||Q).$$

In this paper our aim is to obtain an inequality relating the mean divergence measures given above. This shall be done by use of Csiszár's f -divergence.

3. CSISZÁR'S f -DIVERGENCE AND MEAN DIVERGENCE MEASURES

Given a convex function $f : (0, \infty) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$, the f -divergence measure introduced by Csiszár [4] is given by

$$(3.1) \quad C_f(P||Q) = \sum_{i=1}^n q_i f\left(\frac{p_i}{q_i}\right),$$

for all $P, Q \in \Gamma_n$.

The following theorem is well known in the literature [4, 5]:

Property 3.1. *Let the function $f : [0, \infty) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ be differentiable convex and normalized, i.e., $f(1) = 0$, then the Csiszár f -divergence, $C_f(P||Q)$ is nonnegative and convex in the pair of probability distribution $(P, Q) \in \Gamma_n \times \Gamma_n$.*

The mean divergence measures given in Section 2 can be written as examples of (3.1) and applying property 3.1 we can check the *nonnegativity* and *convexity* of these measures. Here below we shall give these as examples.

Example 3.1. *Let us consider*

$$f_{SA}(x) = \sqrt{\frac{x^2 + 1}{2}} - \frac{x + 1}{2}, \quad x \in (0, \infty),$$

in (3.1), then we have $C_f(P||Q) = M_{SA}(P||Q)$.

Moreover,

$$f'_{SA}(x) = \frac{x}{\sqrt{2}\sqrt{x^2 + 1}} - \frac{1}{2},$$

and

$$f''_{SA}(x) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}(x^2 + 1)\sqrt{x^2 + 1}}.$$

Thus we have $f''_{SA}(x) > 0$ for all $x \in (0, \infty)$. Also, we have $f_{SA}(1) = 0$. In view of this we can say that the square root – geometric mean divergence is nonnegative and convex in the pair of probability distributions $(P, Q) \in \Gamma_n \times \Gamma_n$.

Example 3.2. *Let us consider*

$$f_{SG}(x) = \sqrt{\frac{x^2 + 1}{2}} - \sqrt{x}, \quad x \in (0, \infty),$$

in (3.1), then we have $C_f(P||Q) = M_{SG}(P||Q)$.

Moreover,

$$f'_{SG}(x) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \left(\frac{x}{\sqrt{x^2 + 1}} - \frac{1}{\sqrt{x}} \right),$$

and

$$f''_{SG}(x) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}(x^2 + 1)\sqrt{x^2 + 1}} + \frac{1}{4x\sqrt{x}}.$$

Thus we have $f''_{SG}(x) > 0$ for all $x \in (0, \infty)$. Also, we have $f_{SG}(1) = 0$. In view of this we can say that the square root – geometric mean divergence is nonnegative and convex in the pair of probability distributions $(P, Q) \in \Gamma_n \times \Gamma_n$.

Example 3.3. *Let us consider*

$$f_{SH}(x) = \sqrt{\frac{x^2 + 1}{2}} - \frac{2x}{x + 1}, \quad x \in (0, \infty),$$

in (3.1), then we have $C_f(P||Q) = M_{SH}(P||Q)$.

Moreover,

$$f'_{SH}(x) = \frac{x}{\sqrt{2}\sqrt{x^2 + 1}} - \frac{2}{(x + 1)^2},$$

and

$$f''_{SH}(x) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}(x + 1)^2\sqrt{x^2 + 1}} + \frac{4}{(x + 1)^3}.$$

Thus we have $f''_{SH}(x) > 0$ for all $x \in (0, \infty)$. Also, we have $f_{SH}(1) = 0$. In view of this we can say that the square root – geometric mean divergence is nonnegative and convex in the pair of probability distributions $(P, Q) \in \Gamma_n \times \Gamma_n$.

Example 3.4. *Let us consider*

$$f_h(x) = \frac{1}{2}(\sqrt{x} - 1)^2, \quad x \in (0, \infty),$$

in (3.1), then we have $C_f(P||Q) = h(P||Q)$.

Moreover,

$$f'_h(x) = \frac{\sqrt{x} - 1}{2\sqrt{x}},$$

and

$$f''_h(x) = \frac{1}{4x\sqrt{x}}.$$

Thus we have $f''_h(x) > 0$ for all $x \in (0, \infty)$. Also, we have $f_h(1) = 0$. In view of this we can say that the square root – geometric mean divergence is nonnegative and convex in the pair of probability distributions $(P, Q) \in \Gamma_n \times \Gamma_n$.

Example 3.5. *Let us consider*

$$f_\Delta(x) = \frac{(x - 1)^2}{x + 1}, \quad x \in (0, \infty),$$

in (2.1), then we have $C_f(P||Q) = \Delta(P||Q)$.

Moreover,

$$f'_\Delta(x) = \frac{(x - 1)(x + 3)}{(x + 1)^2},$$

and

$$f''_\Delta(x) = \frac{8}{(x + 1)^3}.$$

Thus we have $f''_\Delta(x) > 0$ for all $x \in (0, \infty)$. Also, we have $f_\Delta(1) = 0$. In view of this we can say that the square root – geometric mean divergence is nonnegative and convex in the pair of probability distributions $(P, Q) \in \Gamma_n \times \Gamma_n$.

4. BOUNDS ON MEAN DIVERGENCE MEASURES

In this section we shall give bounds on the measures given in Section 2. In order to get these bounds we shall make use of the properties of Csiszár's f -divergence due to Dragomir [6].

Property 4.1. *Let $f : \mathbb{R}_+ \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ be differentiable convex and normalized i.e., $f(1) = 0$. If $P, Q \in \Gamma_n$, then we have*

$$(4.1) \quad 0 \leq C_f(P||Q) \leq E_{C_f}(P||Q),$$

where

$$E_{C_f}(P||Q) = \sum_{i=1}^n (p_i - q_i) f'\left(\frac{p_i}{q_i}\right).$$

4.1. Square root – arithmetic mean divergence. We have

$$0 \leq M_{SA}(P||Q) \leq E_{SA}(P||Q),$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} E_{SA}(P||Q) &= \sum_{i=1}^n (p_i - q_i) \left(\frac{p_i}{\sqrt{2(p_i^2 + q_i^2)}} \right) \\ &= \sum_{i=1}^n \frac{p_i^2 - p_i q_i + q_i^2 - q_i^2}{\sqrt{2(p_i^2 + q_i^2)}} \\ &= M_{SA}(P||Q) + 1 - \sum_{i=1}^n \frac{q_i(p_i + q_i)}{\sqrt{2(p_i^2 + q_i^2)}} \\ &= M_{SA}(P||Q) + \sum_{i=1}^n q_i \left[\frac{\sqrt{2(p_i^2 + q_i^2)} - (p_i + q_i)}{\sqrt{2(p_i^2 + q_i^2)}} \right] \\ &= M_{SA}(P||Q) + \xi_{SA}(P||Q), \end{aligned}$$

with

$$\xi_{SA}(P||Q) = \sum_{i=1}^n \frac{\sqrt{2}q_i}{\sqrt{p_i^2 + q_i^2}} \left[\sqrt{\frac{p_i^2 + q_i^2}{2}} - \frac{p_i + q_i}{2} \right].$$

In view of (4.1), we can say that $\xi_{SA}(P||Q) \geq 0$.

4.2. Square root – geometric mean divergence. We have

$$0 \leq M_{SG}(P||Q) \leq E_{SG}(P||Q),$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} E_{SG}(P||Q) &= \sum_{i=1}^n (p_i - q_i) \left(\frac{p_i}{\sqrt{2(p_i^2 + q_i^2)}} - \frac{\sqrt{q_i}}{2\sqrt{p_i}} \right) \\ &= \sum_{i=1}^n \left(\frac{p_i^2 - p_i q_i + q_i^2 - q_i^2}{\sqrt{2(p_i^2 + q_i^2)}} - \frac{\sqrt{q_i}(p_i - q_i)}{2\sqrt{p_i}} \right) \\ &= M_{SG}(P||Q) + \sum_{i=1}^n \left(\frac{\sqrt{q_i}(p_i + q_i)}{\sqrt{p_i}} - \frac{q_i(p_i + q_i)}{\sqrt{2(p_i^2 + q_i^2)}} \right) \\ &= M_{SG}(P||Q) + \sum_{i=1}^n \sqrt{q_i}(p_i + q_i) \left(\frac{\sqrt{p_i^2 + q_i^2} - \sqrt{2p_i q_i}}{2\sqrt{p_i}\sqrt{p_i^2 + q_i^2}} \right) \\ &= M_{SG}(P||Q) + \sum_{i=1}^n \frac{\sqrt{q_i}(p_i + q_i)}{2\sqrt{p_i}\sqrt{p_i^2 + q_i^2}} \left(\sqrt{p_i^2 + q_i^2} - \sqrt{2p_i q_i} \right) \\ &= M_{SG}(P||Q) + \xi_{SG}(P||Q), \end{aligned}$$

with

$$\xi_{SG}(P||Q) = \sum_{i=1}^n (p_i + q_i) \sqrt{\frac{q_i}{2p_i(p_i^2 + q_i^2)}} \left(\sqrt{\frac{p_i^2 + q_i^2}{2}} - \sqrt{p_i q_i} \right).$$

In view of (4.1), we can say that $\xi_{SG}(P||Q) \geq 0$.

4.3. Square root – harmonic mean divergence. We have

$$0 \leq M_{SH}(P||Q) \leq E_{SH}(P||Q),$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} E_{SH}(P||Q) &= \sum_{i=1}^n (p_i - q_i) \left(\frac{p_i}{\sqrt{2(p_i^2 + q_i^2)}} - \frac{2q_i^2}{(p_i + q_i)^2} \right) \\ &= \sum_{i=1}^n \left(\frac{p_i^2 - p_i q_i + q_i^2 - q_i^2}{\sqrt{2(p_i^2 + q_i^2)}} - \frac{2q_i^2(p_i - q_i)}{(p_i^2 + q_i^2)^2} \right) \\ &= M_{SH}(P||Q) + \sum_{i=1}^n \left(\frac{2p_i q_i(p_i + q_i) - 2q_i^2(p_i - q_i)}{(p_i + q_i)^2} - \frac{q_i(p_i + q_i)}{\sqrt{2(p_i^2 + q_i^2)}} \right) \\ &= M_{SH}(P||Q) + \sum_{i=1}^n q_i \left[\frac{2(p_i^2 + q_i^2)}{(p_i + q_i)^2} - \frac{(p_i + q_i)}{\sqrt{2(p_i^2 + q_i^2)}} \right] \\ &= M_{SH}(P||Q) + \sum_{i=1}^n q_i \left[\frac{\left(\sqrt{2(p_i^2 + q_i^2)} \right)^3 - (p_i + q_i)^3}{(p_i + q_i)^2 \sqrt{2(p_i^2 + q_i^2)}} \right] \\ &= M_{SH}(P||Q) + \xi_{SH}(P||Q), \end{aligned}$$

with

$$\xi_{SH}(P||Q) = \sum_{i=1}^n q_i \left[\frac{\left(\sqrt{2(p_i^2 + q_i^2)} \right)^3 - (p_i + q_i)^3}{(p_i + q_i)^2 \sqrt{2(p_i^2 + q_i^2)}} \right].$$

In view of (4.1), we can say that $\xi_{SH}(P||Q) \geq 0$.

4.4. Hellinger discrimination. We have

$$0 \leq M_h(P||Q) \leq E_h(P||Q),$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} E_h(P||Q) &= \sum_{i=1}^n \frac{(p_i - q_i)(\sqrt{p_i} - \sqrt{q_i})}{2\sqrt{p_i}} \\ &= \sum_{i=1}^n \frac{(\sqrt{p_i} - \sqrt{q_i})^2 (\sqrt{p_i} + \sqrt{q_i})}{2\sqrt{p_i}} \\ &= M_h(P||Q) + \frac{1}{2} \sum_{i=1}^n \sqrt{\frac{q_i}{p_i}} (\sqrt{p_i} - \sqrt{q_i})^2 \\ &= M_h(P||Q) + \xi_h(P||Q), \end{aligned}$$

with

$$\xi_h(P||Q) = \frac{1}{2} \sum_{i=1}^n \sqrt{\frac{q_i}{p_i}} (\sqrt{p_i} - \sqrt{q_i})^2.$$

Obviously, $\xi_h(P||Q) \geq 0$.

4.5. Triangular discrimination. We have

$$0 \leq M_{\Delta}(P||Q) \leq E_{\Delta}(P||Q),$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} E_{\Delta}(P||Q) &= \sum_{i=1}^n \frac{(p_i - q_i)^2 (p_i + 3q_i)}{(p_i + q_i)^2} \\ &= \Delta(P||Q) + 2 \sum_{i=1}^n q_i \left(\frac{p_i - q_i}{p_i + q_i} \right)^2 \\ &= M_{\Delta}(P||Q) + \xi_{\Delta}(P||Q), \end{aligned}$$

with

$$\xi_{\Delta}(P||Q) = 2 \sum_{i=1}^n q_i \left(\frac{p_i - q_i}{p_i + q_i} \right)^2.$$

Obviously, $\xi_{\Delta}(P||Q) \geq 0$.

5. INEQUALITIES AMONG MEAN DIVERGENCE MEASURES

In this section we shall obtain inequalities among the measures given in Section 2.

Property 5.1. *Let $f_1, f_2 : I \subset \mathbb{R}_+ \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ be two convex mappings that are normalized, i.e., $f_1(1) = f_2(1) = 0$ and suppose the assumptions:*

- (i) f_1 and f_2 are twice differentiable on (a, b) ;
- (ii) there exists the real constants α, β such that $\alpha < \beta$ and

$$(5.1) \quad \alpha \leq \frac{f_1''(x)}{f_2''(x)} \leq \beta, \quad f_2''(x) > 0, \quad \forall x \in (a, b).$$

Then,

$$(5.2) \quad \alpha C_{f_2}(P||Q) \leq C_{f_1}(P||Q) \leq \beta C_{f_2}(P||Q),$$

and

$$(5.3) \quad \begin{aligned} \alpha [E_{f_2}(P||Q) - C_{f_2}(P||Q)] &\leq E_{f_1}(P||Q) - C_{f_1}(P||Q) \\ &\leq \beta [E_{f_2}(P||Q) - C_{f_2}(P||Q)] \end{aligned}$$

Proof. Let us consider the functions

$$(5.4) \quad p_{\alpha}(x) = f_1(x) - \alpha f_2(x)$$

and

$$(5.5) \quad p_{\beta}(x) = \beta f_2(x) - f_1(x),$$

where α and β are as given by (5.1).

Since $f_1(x)$ and $f_2(x)$ are normalized, i.e., $f_1(1) = f_2(1) = 0$, then $p_{\alpha}(1) = p_{\beta}(1) = 0$. Also, the functions $f_1(x)$ and $f_2(x)$ are twice differentiable. Then in view of (5.1), we have

$$(5.6) \quad p_{\alpha}''(x) = f_1''(x) - \alpha f_2''(x) = f_2''(x) \left(\frac{f_1''(x)}{f_2''(x)} - \alpha \right) \geq 0$$

and

$$(5.7) \quad p_{\beta}''(x) = \beta f_2''(x) - f_1''(x) = f_2''(x) \left(\beta - \frac{f_1''(x)}{f_2''(x)} \right) \geq 0,$$

for all $x \in (a, b)$.

In view of (5.6) and (5.7), we can say that the functions $p_\alpha(\cdot)$ and $p_\beta(\cdot)$ are convex on (a, b) .

According to Property 3.1, we have

$$(5.8) \quad C_{p_\alpha}(P||Q) = C_{f_1 - \alpha f_2}(P||Q) = C_{f_1}(P||Q) - \alpha C_{f_2}(P||Q) \geq 0,$$

and

$$(5.9) \quad C_{q_\beta}(P||Q) = C_{\beta f_2 - f_1}(P||Q) = \beta C_{f_2}(P||Q) - C_{f_1}(P||Q) \geq 0.$$

Combining (5.8) and (5.9) we have the proof of (5.2).

Now, we shall prove the inequalities (5.3). We have seen above that the real mappings $p_\alpha(\cdot)$ and $p_\beta(\cdot)$ defined over $\mathbb{R}_+$ are normalized, twice differentiable and convex on (a, b) . Applying the *r.h.s.* of the inequalities (5.2), we have

$$(5.10) \quad C_{p_\alpha}(P||Q) \leq E_{C_{p_\alpha}}(P||Q)$$

and

$$(5.11) \quad C_{q_\beta}(P||Q) \leq E_{C_{q_\beta}}(P||Q).$$

Moreover,

$$(5.12) \quad C_{p_\alpha}(P||Q) = C_{f_1}(P||Q) - \alpha C_{f_2}(P||Q)$$

and

$$(5.13) \quad C_{p_\beta}(P||Q) = \beta C_{f_2}(P||Q) - C_{f_1}(P||Q).$$

In view of (5.10) and (5.12), we have

$$\begin{aligned} C_{f_1}(P||Q) - \alpha C_{f_2}(P||Q) &\leq E_{C_{f_1 - \alpha f_2}}(P||Q) \\ &= E_{f_1}(P||Q) - \alpha E_{f_2}(P||Q). \end{aligned}$$

This gives,

$$\alpha [E_{C_{f_2}}(P||Q) - C_{f_2}(P||Q)] \leq E_{C_{f_1}}(P||Q) - C_{f_1}(P||Q).$$

Thus, we have the *l.h.s.* of the inequalities (5.3).

Again in view of (5.11) and (5.13), we have

$$\begin{aligned} \beta C_{f_2}(P||Q) - C_{f_1}(P||Q) &\leq E_{C_{\beta f_2 - f_1}}(P||Q) \\ &= \beta E_{C_{f_2}}(P||Q) - E_{C_{f_1}}(P||Q). \end{aligned}$$

After simplifying, we get

$$E_{f_1}(P||Q) - C_{f_1}(P||Q) \leq \beta [E_{f_2}(P||Q) - C_{f_2}(P||Q)].$$

Thus we have the *r.h.s.* of the inequalities (5.3). This completes the proof of the property. $\square$

Now, we shall apply the above theorem for the measures given in Section 2.

Theorem 5.1. *The following inequalities among the mean difference and auxiliary divergences hold:*

$$(5.14) \quad M_{SA}(P||Q) \leq \frac{1}{3} M_{SH}(P||Q) \leq \frac{1}{4} \Delta(P||Q) \leq \frac{1}{2} M_{SG}(P||Q) \leq h(P||Q),$$

and

$$(5.15) \quad \xi_{SA}(P||Q) \leq \frac{1}{3} \xi_{SH}(P||Q) \leq \frac{1}{4} \xi_{\Delta}(P||Q) \leq \frac{1}{2} \xi_{SG}(P||Q) \leq \xi_h(P||Q).$$

The proof is based on the following propositions.

Proposition 5.1. *The following inequalities hold:*

$$(5.16) \quad 0 \leq M_{SA}(P||Q) \leq \frac{1}{3}M_{SH}(P||Q),$$

and

$$(5.17) \quad 0 \leq \xi_{SA}(P||Q) \leq \frac{1}{3}\xi_{SH}(P||Q) .$$

Proof. Let us consider

$$g_{SA\text{-}SH}(x) = \frac{f''_{SA}(x)}{f''_{SH}(x)} = \frac{(x+1)^3}{(x+1)^3 + 4\sqrt{2}(x^2+1)^{3/2}}, \quad x \in (0, \infty).$$

This gives

$$(5.18) \quad g'_{SA\text{-}SH}(x) = -\frac{24(x-1)(x^2+1)(x+1)^2}{\sqrt{2}(x^2+1)[(x+1)^3 + 4\sqrt{2}(x^2+1)^{3/2}]^2} \begin{cases} \geq 0, & x \leq 1 \\ \leq 0, & x \geq 1 \end{cases} .$$

In view of (5.18) we conclude that the function $g_{SA\text{-}SH}(x)$ increasing in $x \in (0, 1)$ and decreasing in $x \in (1, \infty)$, and hence

$$(5.19) \quad \beta = \sup_{x \in (0, \infty)} g_{SA\text{-}SH}(x) = g_{SA\text{-}SH}(1) = \frac{1}{3}.$$

Now (5.19) together with (5.2) and (5.3) give respectively (5.16) and (5.17). $\square$

Proposition 5.2. *The following inequalities hold:*

$$(5.20) \quad 0 \leq M_{SA}(P||Q) \leq \frac{1}{4}\Delta(P||Q),$$

and

$$(5.21) \quad 0 \leq \xi_{SA}(P||Q) \leq \frac{1}{4}\xi_{\Delta}(P||Q).$$

Proof. Let us consider

$$g_{SA\Delta}(x) = \frac{f''_{SA}(x)}{f''_{\Delta}(x)} = \frac{(x+1)^3}{8\sqrt{2}(x^2+1)^{3/2}}, \quad x \in (0, \infty),$$

This gives

$$(5.22) \quad g'_{SA\Delta}(x) = -\frac{3(x-1)(x+1)^2}{8\sqrt{2}(x^2+1)^{5/2}} \begin{cases} \geq 0, & x \leq 1 \\ \leq 0, & x \geq 1 \end{cases} .$$

In view of (5.22), we conclude that the function $g_{SA\Delta}(x)$ is increasing in $x \in (0, 1)$ and decreasing in $x \in (1, \infty)$, and hence

$$(5.23) \quad M = \sup_{x \in (0, \infty)} g_{SA\Delta}(x) = g_{SA\Delta}(1) = \frac{1}{4}.$$

Now (5.23) together with (5.2) and (5.3) give respectively (5.20) and (5.21). $\square$

Proposition 5.3. *The following inequalities hold:*

$$(5.24) \quad 0 \leq \frac{1}{2}\Delta(P||Q) \leq M_{SG}(P||Q) ,$$

and

$$(5.25) \quad 0 \leq \frac{1}{2}\xi_{\Delta}(P||Q) \leq \xi_{SG}(P||Q).$$

Proof. Let us consider

$$g_{SG\Delta}(x) = \frac{f''_{SG}(x)}{f''_{\Delta}(x)} = \frac{(x+1)^3 [4x^{3/2} + \sqrt{2} (x^2+1)^{3/2}]}{32\sqrt{2} (x^2+1)^{3/2} x^{3/2}}, \quad x \in (0, \infty),$$

This gives

$$(5.26) \quad g'_{SG\Delta}(x) = \frac{3(x+1)^4(x-1) [\sqrt{2} (x^2+1)^{5/2} - 8x^{5/2}]}{64\sqrt{2} [x(x+1)]^{5/2}}.$$

Since $x^2 + 1 \geq 2x$, then from (5.30), we conclude that

$$(5.27) \quad g'_{SG\Delta}(x) \begin{cases} \geq 0, & x \geq 1 \\ \leq 0, & x \leq 1 \end{cases}.$$

In view of (5.27), we conclude that the function $g_{SG\Delta}(x)$ is decreasing in $x \in (0, 1)$ and increasing in $x \in (1, \infty)$, and hence

$$(5.28) \quad \alpha = \inf_{x \in (0, \infty)} g_{SG\Delta}(x) = \min_{x \in (0, \infty)} g_{SG\Delta}(x) = \frac{1}{2}.$$

Now (5.28) together with (5.2) and (5.3) give respectively (5.24) and (5.25). $\square$

Proposition 5.4. *We have the following bounds:*

$$(5.29) \quad 0 \leq M_{SG}(P||Q) \leq 2 h(P||Q),$$

and

$$(5.30) \quad 0 \leq \xi_{SG}(P||Q) \leq 2 \xi_h(P||Q).$$

Proof. Let us consider

$$g_{SG\mathcal{H}}(x) = \frac{f''_{SG}(x)}{f''_{\mathcal{H}}(x)} = \frac{4x^{3/2} + \sqrt{2} (x^2+1)^{3/2}}{\sqrt{2} (x^2+1)^{3/2}}, \quad x \in (0, \infty).$$

This gives

$$(5.31) \quad g'_{SG\mathcal{H}}(x) = -\frac{6(x-1)(x+1)\sqrt{x}}{\sqrt{2}(x^2+1)^{5/2}} \begin{cases} \geq 0, & x \leq 1 \\ \leq 0, & x \geq 1 \end{cases}.$$

In view of (5.31), we conclude that the function $g_{SG\mathcal{H}}(x)$ is increasing in $x \in (0, 1)$ and decreasing in $x \in (1, \infty)$, and hence

$$(5.32) \quad \beta = \sup_{x \in (0, \infty)} g_{SG\mathcal{H}}(x) = g_{SG\mathcal{H}}(1) = 2.$$

Now (5.32) together with (5.2) and (5.3) give respectively (5.29) and (5.30). $\square$

The inequalities (5.16), (5.20), (5.24) and (5.29) together give (5.14) and the inequalities (5.17), (5.21), (5.25) and (5.30) together give (5.15). This completes the proof of the Theorem 5.1.

Remark 5.1. (i) *The divergence measure arising due to geometric-harmonic mean is not studied here because it is not convex.*

(ii) *The auxiliary measures $\xi_{(\cdot)}(P||Q)$ can be written in terms of Csiszár f -divergence, but they are not necessarily convex.*

6. COMPARISON WITH CLASSICAL DIVERGENCE MEASURES

In this section, we shall present some classical divergence measures. The following *Jensen-Shannon divergence measure* [3, 15] is already known in the literature:

$$(6.1) \quad I(P||Q) = \sum_{i=1}^n [A(p_i \ln p_i, q_i \ln q_i) - A(p_i, q_i) \ln A(p_i, q_i)].$$

Taneja [17] presented the following *arithmetic and geometric divergence measure* arising due to arithmetic and geometric means:

$$(6.2) \quad T(P||Q) = \sum_{i=1}^n A(p_i, q_i) \ln \frac{A(p_i, q_i)}{G(p_i, q_i)}.$$

Adding (6.1) and (6.2), we get

$$(6.3) \quad I(P||Q) + T(P||Q) = 4J(P||Q),$$

where $J(P||Q)$ is the well known Jefferys-Kullback-Leibler [11, 10] *J-divergence* given by

$$(6.4) \quad J(P||Q) = \sum_{i=1}^n (p_i - q_i) \ln \left(\frac{p_i}{q_i} \right).$$

For more studies on the measures (6.2)-(6.4) with their generalizations and some statistical applications refer to Taneja [16, 18, 21, 22]. For new symmetric divergence measure refer to Kumar and Chhina [12]

Recently, author [19, 20] proved an inequality among these divergence measures given by

$$(6.5) \quad \frac{1}{4}\Delta(P||Q) \leq I(P||Q) \leq h(P||Q) \leq \frac{1}{8}J(P||Q) \leq T(P||Q).$$

Finally, combining the inequalities (5.14) and (6.5), we have the following interesting inequalities:

$$(6.6) \quad \begin{aligned} M_{SA}(P||Q) &\leq \frac{1}{3}M_{SH}(P||Q) \leq \frac{1}{4}\Delta(P||Q) \leq \frac{1}{2}M_{SG}(P||Q) \\ &\leq h(P||Q) \leq \frac{1}{8}J(P||Q) \leq T(P||Q), \end{aligned}$$

and

$$(6.7) \quad \begin{aligned} M_{SA}(P||Q) &\leq \frac{1}{3}M_{SH}(P||Q) \leq \frac{1}{4}\Delta(P||Q) \leq I(P||Q) \\ &\leq h(P||Q) \leq \frac{1}{8}J(P||Q) \leq T(P||Q). \end{aligned}$$

From the inequalities (5.14), (6.6) and (6.7), we observe that we don't have relation among the measures *SG-divergence* and *I-divergence*. Let us check this by applying Property 5.1

Let us consider

$$f_I(x) = \frac{x}{2} \ln x + \frac{x+1}{2} \ln \left(\frac{2}{x+1} \right), \quad x \in (0, \infty)$$

in (3.1), the one gets $C_f(P||Q) = I(P||Q)$.

Moreover,

$$f'_I(x) = \frac{1}{2} \ln \left(\frac{2x}{x+1} \right)$$

and

$$f''_I(x) = \frac{1}{2x(x+1)}.$$

Again, let us consider

$$g_{SG,I}(x) = \frac{f''_{SG}(x)}{f''_I(x)} = \frac{[4x^{3/2} + \sqrt{2} (x^2 + 1)^{3/2}] x(x+1)}{2\sqrt{2} (x^2 + 1)^{3/2} x^{3/2}}, \quad x \in (0, \infty).$$

The first order derivative of the function $g_{SG,I}(x)$ is given by

$$g'_{SG,I}(x) = \frac{(x-1)\sigma(x)}{4\sqrt{2}(x^2+1)^{5/2}x^{3/2}},$$

where

$$\sigma(x) = \sqrt{2} (x^2 + 1)^{5/2} - 8x^{3/2} (x^2 + 3x + 1).$$

In order to apply Property 5.1 we must prove that $\sigma(x)$ is either negative or positive for $x \in (0, \infty)$, but $\sigma(1) = -32.0$ and $\sigma(4.25) = 13.87$. This implies that we are unable to apply the Property 5.1.

Moreover, if we check the generating functions in both the cases, still the result don't hold. Let us denote, $a(x) = f_{SA}(x)$, $b(x) = \frac{1}{3}f_{SH}(x)$, $c(x) = \frac{1}{4}f_{\Delta}(x)$, $d(x) = \frac{1}{2}f_{SG}(x)$, $e(x) = f_I(x)$ and $f(x) = f_h(x)$ for all $x \in (0, \infty)$. Then we have the following values of these two functions:

x	0.1	10	1000	3000	3800	3900
$a(x)$	0.1606	1.6063	206.6071	620.8204	786.5058	807.2165
$b(x)$	0.1762	1.7627	235.0363	706.4403	895.0021	918.5723
$c(x)$	0.1840	1.8409	249.2509	749.2503	949.2502	974.2502
$d(x)$	0.1972	1.9720	337.7421	1033.2741	1312.6808	1347.6332
$e(x)$	0.2136	2.1368	342.9660	1035.5640	1312.7047	1347.3491
$f(x)$	0.2337	2.3377	468.8772	1445.7277	1838.8558	1888.0500

We observe from the above table that the values of $d(x)$ and $e(x)$ changes in the interval $x \in [3800, 3900]$, before it $d(x)$ is always smaller than $e(x)$.

Let check the same thing by considering particular values of the probability distributions. Let us consider $n = 2$, $p_1 = t$, $q_1 = 1 - t$, $p_2 = 1 - t$ and $q_2 = t$. Then we can write

$$\begin{aligned} a(t) &= M_{SA}(P||Q) = 2\sqrt{\frac{t^2 + (1-t)^2}{2}} - 1, \\ b(t) &= \frac{1}{3}M_{SH}(P||Q) = \frac{2}{3}\sqrt{\frac{t^2 + (1-t)^2}{2}} - \frac{4}{3}t(1-t), \\ c(t) &= \frac{1}{4}\Delta(P||Q) = \frac{1}{2}(2t-1)^2, \\ d(t) &= \frac{1}{2}M_{SG}(P||Q) = \sqrt{\frac{t^2 + (1-t)^2}{2}} - \sqrt{t(1-t)}, \\ e(t) &= I(P||Q) = t \ln(2t) + (1-t) \log(2-2t) \end{aligned}$$

and

$$f(t) = h(P||Q) = \left(\sqrt{t} - \sqrt{1-t}\right)^2,$$

for all $t \in [0, 1]$ with the convention that $0 \log 0 = 0$.

Let us compare the measures for some particular values of t .

t	0.0001	0.001	0.01	0.1	0.2	0.4
$a(t)$	0.4140	0.4128	0.4001	0.2806	0.1662	0.01980
$b(t)$	0.4712	0.4696	0.4535	0.3068	0.1754	0.01993
$c(t)$	0.4998	0.4980	0.4802	0.3200	0.1800	0.02000
$d(t)$	0.6970	0.6747	0.6005	0.3403	0.1830	0.02004
$e(t)$	0.6921	0.6852	0.6371	0.3680	0.1927	0.02013
$f(t)$	0.9800	0.9367	0.8010	0.4000	0.2000	0.02020

Here we have considered only the values of $t \in (0, 1/2]$, since for $t \in [1/2, 1)$ the values are symmetric. Moreover, all values are zero for $t = \frac{1}{2}$. From the table we observe that for each t fixed the values of the functions are monotonically increasing, except for $t = 0.0001$, $d(t)$ is bigger than $e(t)$.

From the example above we conclude that we are unable to establish an inequality having nine measures in a sequence combining (6.6) and (6.7).

7. REFINEMENT INEQUALITIES

Now we shall improve the inequality (5.14). In order to do so, we shall again consider the following *non-negative differences*:

$$D_{f_k}(P||Q) = \sum_{i=1}^n q_i f_k \left(\frac{p_i}{q_i} \right), \quad k = 1, 2, \dots, 10,$$

where, for all $x \in (0, \infty)$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} f_1(x) &= f_{AG}(x) - \frac{1}{2}f_{SG}(x), \\ f_2(x) &= f_{AG}(x) - \frac{1}{2}f_{AH}(x), \\ f_3(x) &= f_{AG}(x) - \frac{1}{3}f_{SH}(x), \\ f_4(x) &= f_{AG}(x) - f_{SA}(x), \\ f_5(x) &= \frac{1}{2}f_{SG}(x) - \frac{1}{2}f_{AH}(x), \\ f_6(x) &= \frac{1}{2}f_{SG}(x) - \frac{1}{3}f_{SH}(x), \\ f_7(x) &= \frac{1}{2}f_{SG}(x) - f_{SA}(x), \\ f_8(x) &= \frac{1}{2}f_{AH}(x) - \frac{1}{3}f_{SH}(x), \\ f_9(x) &= \frac{1}{2}f_{AH}(x) - f_{SA}(x), \end{aligned}$$

and

$$f_{10}(x) = \frac{1}{3}f_{SH}(x) - f_{SA}(x).$$

We can easily verify that

$$(7.1) \quad f_1(x) = \frac{1}{2}f_4(x) = f_7(x),$$

and

$$(7.2) \quad f_8(x) = \frac{1}{3}f_9(x) = \frac{1}{2}f_{10}(x).$$

For all $x \in (0, \infty)$, we can write

$$\begin{aligned} f_1(x) &= \left(\frac{\sqrt{x} - 1}{2} \right)^2 - \frac{\sqrt{2(x^2 + 1)} - 2\sqrt{x}}{4} = A - \left(\frac{G + S}{2} \right), \\ f_2(x) &= \left(\frac{\sqrt{x} - 1}{2} \right)^2 - \frac{(x - 1)^2}{4(x + 1)} = \left(\frac{A + H}{2} \right) - G, \\ f_3(x) &= \left(\frac{\sqrt{x} - 1}{2} \right)^2 - \frac{\sqrt{2(x^2 + 1)}}{6} + \frac{2x}{2(x + 1)} = \frac{1}{3}[3A + H - (S + 3G)], \\ f_5(x) &= \frac{\sqrt{2(x^2 + 1)}}{2} - \sqrt{x} - \frac{(x - 1)^2}{2(x + 1)} = \frac{1}{2}[S + H - (A + G)], \\ f_6(x) &= \frac{\sqrt{2(x^2 + 1)}}{12} - \frac{\sqrt{x}}{2} + \frac{2x}{3(x + 1)} = \frac{1}{6}[S + 2H - 3G], \end{aligned}$$

and

$$f_8(x) = \frac{(x - 1)^2}{4(x + 1)} - \frac{\sqrt{2(x^2 + 1)}}{6} + \frac{2x}{3(x + 1)} = \frac{1}{6}[3A - (2S + H)],$$

where $A = \frac{x+1}{2}$, $G = \sqrt{x}$, $H = \frac{2x}{x+1}$ and $S = \sqrt{\frac{x^2+1}{2}}$ are respectively *arithmetic*, *geometric*, *harmonic* and *square-root means* between x and 1.

Theorem 7.1. *The following inequality among the new differences hold:*

$$(7.3) \quad D_{f_8}(P||Q) \leq \frac{1}{3}D_{f_1}(P||Q)_1 \leq \frac{1}{4}D_{f_3}(P||Q) \leq \frac{1}{3}D_{f_2}(P||Q) \leq D_{f_6}(P||Q).$$

Proof. We shall prove each part of the inequality separately. These inequalities can be proved on similar lines of theorem 5.1, but here we shall give a simpler proof.

(i) We can write

$$\begin{aligned} f_6(x) - \frac{1}{3}f_2(x) &= \frac{1}{6}[S + 2H - 3G] - \frac{1}{3} \left[\left(\frac{A + H}{2} \right) - G \right] \\ &= \frac{1}{6}[S + H - (A + G)] = \frac{1}{3}f_5(x) \geq 0, \quad \forall x \in (0, \infty) \end{aligned}$$

This prove that $\frac{1}{3}f_2(x) \leq f_6(x)$, $\forall x \in (0, \infty)$, and consequently, we get

$$(7.4) \quad \frac{1}{3}D_{f_2}(P||Q) \leq D_{f_6}(P||Q).$$

(ii) We can write

$$\begin{aligned}\frac{1}{3}f_2(x) - \frac{1}{4}f_3(x) &= \frac{1}{3} \left[\frac{A+H}{2} - G \right] - \frac{1}{12} [3A + H - (S + 3G)] \\ &= \frac{1}{12} [S + H - (A + G)] = \frac{1}{6}f_5(x) \geq 0, \quad \forall x \in (0, \infty).\end{aligned}$$

This prove that $\frac{1}{4}f_3(x) \leq \frac{1}{3}f_2(x)$, $\forall x \in (0, \infty)$, and consequently, we get

$$(7.5) \quad \frac{1}{4}D_{f_3}(P||Q) \leq \frac{1}{3}D_{f_2}(P||Q).$$

(iii) We can write

$$\begin{aligned}\frac{1}{4}f_3(x) - \frac{1}{3}f_1(x) &= \frac{1}{12} [3A + H - (S + 3G)] - \frac{1}{3} \left[A - \left(\frac{G+S}{2} \right) \right] \\ &= \frac{1}{12} [S + H - (A + G)] = \frac{1}{6}f_5(x) \geq 0, \quad \forall x \in (0, \infty).\end{aligned}$$

This prove that $\frac{1}{3}f_1(x) \leq \frac{1}{4}f_3(x)$, $\forall x \in (0, \infty)$, and consequently, we get

$$(7.6) \quad \frac{1}{3}D_{f_1}(P||Q) \leq \frac{1}{4}D_{f_3}(P||Q).$$

(iv) We can write

$$\begin{aligned}\frac{1}{3}f_1(x) - f_8(x) &= \frac{1}{3} \left[A - \left(\frac{G+S}{2} \right) \right] - \frac{1}{6} [3A - (2S + H)] \\ &= \frac{1}{6} [S + H - (A + G)] = \frac{1}{3}f_5(x) \geq 0, \quad \forall x \in (0, \infty).\end{aligned}$$

This prove that $f_8(x) \leq \frac{1}{3}f_1(x)$, $\forall x \in (0, \infty)$, and consequently, we get

$$(7.7) \quad D_{f_8}(P||Q) \leq \frac{1}{3}D_{f_1}(P||Q).$$

Combining (7.4)-(7.7) we get the required result. $\square$

Remark 7.1. (i) *Simplifying the inequalities given (7.3) and using the nonnegativity of the expression $f_5(x)$, $\forall x \in (0, \infty)$, we get the following improvement over the inequalities (5.14):*

$$\begin{aligned}(7.8) \quad M_{GH}(P||Q) &\leq M_{SA}(P||Q) \leq \frac{1}{3}M_{SH}(P||Q) \leq \frac{1}{4}\Delta(P||Q) \\ &\leq \frac{3\Delta(P||Q) + 2M_{SG}(P||Q)}{16} \leq \frac{h(P||Q) + 3M_{SA}(P||Q)}{4} \\ &\leq \frac{h(P||Q) + M_{SH}(P||Q)}{4} \leq \frac{6M_{SG}(P||Q) + \Delta(P||Q)}{4} \\ &\leq \frac{1}{2}M_{SG}(P||Q) \leq h(P||Q) \leq \frac{1}{2}\Delta(P||Q)\end{aligned}$$

We observe that the measure $M_{GH}(P||Q)$ is not convex in the pair of probability distributions, but even then we are able to relate it in the above inequalities.

(ii) Recently, author [20] also gave an improvement over the inequality (6.5):

$$\begin{aligned}
 (7.9) \quad \frac{1}{4}\Delta(P||Q) &\leq I(P||Q) \leq \frac{2}{3}h(P||Q) + \frac{1}{12}\Delta(P||Q) \leq h(P||Q) \\
 &\leq \frac{1}{16}J(P||Q) + \frac{1}{2}I(P||Q) \leq \frac{1}{3}T(P||Q) + \frac{2}{3}h(P||Q) \\
 &\leq \frac{1}{8}J(P||Q) \leq \frac{2}{3}T(P||Q) + \frac{1}{12}\Delta(P||Q) \leq T(P||Q).
 \end{aligned}$$

The above inequality also improves the one studied by Dragomir et al. [7].

REFERENCES

- [1] E.F. BECKENBACH and R. BELLMAN, *Inequalities*, Springer-Verlag, New York, 1971.
- [2] A. BHATTACHARYYA, Some Analogues to the Amount of Information and Their uses in Statistical Estimation, *Sankhya*, **8**(1946), 1-14.
- [3] J. BURBEA and C.R. RAO, On the Convexity of Some Divergence Measures Based on Entropy Functions, *IEEE Trans. on Inform. Theory*, **IT-28**(1982), 489-495.
- [4] I. CSISZÁR, Information Type Measures of Differences of Probability Distribution and Indirect Observations, *Studia Math. Hungarica*, **2**(1967), 299-318.
- [5] I. CSISZÁR, On Topological Properties of f -Divergences, *Studia Math. Hungarica*, **2**(1967), 329-339.
- [6] S. S. DRAGOMIR, Some Inequalities for the Csiszár Φ -Divergence - *Inequalities for Csiszár f -Divergence in Information Theory* - Monograph - Chapter I - Article 1 - <http://rgmia.vu.edu.au/monographs/csiszar.htm>.
- [7] S. S. DRAGOMIR, J. SUNDE and C. BUSE, New inequalities for jeffreys divergence measure, *Tamsui Oxford Journal of Mathematical Sciences*, **16**(2)(2000), 295-309.
- [8] S. S. DRAGOMIR, V. GLUSCEVIC and C.E.M. PEARCE, New Approximations for f -Divergence via Trapezoid and Midpoint Inequalities <http://rgmia.vu.edu.au>, *RGMIA Research Report Collection*, **5**(4)(2002), Article 14.
- [9] E. HELLINGER, Neue Begründung der Theorie der quadratischen Formen von unendlichen vielen Veränderlichen, *J. Reine Aug. Math.*, **136**(1909), 210-271.
- [10] H. JEFFREYS, An Invariant Form for the Prior Probability in Estimation Problems, *Proc. Roy. Soc. Lon., Ser. A*, **186**(1946), 453-461.
- [11] S. KULLBACK and R.A. LEIBLER, On Information and Sufficiency, *Ann. Math. Statist.*, **22**(1951), 79-86.
- [12] P. KUMAR and S.A. CHHINA, A Symmetric Information Divergence Measure of Csiszr's f -Divergence Class and its Bounds, *Computer and Mathematics with Applications*, **49**(4)(2005), 575-588.
- [13] F. ÖSTERREICHER and I. VAJDA, A New Class of Metric Divergences on Probability Spaces and its Applicability in Statistics, *Ann. Inst. Statist. Math.*, **55**(3)(2003), 639-653.
- [14] J. SÁNDOR, On Certain Inequalities for Means - II, *J. Math. Anal. and Appl.*, **199**(1996), 629-634.
- [15] R. SIBSON, Information Radius, *Z. Wahrs. und verw Geb.*, **(14)**(1969), 149-160.
- [16] I.J. TANEJA, On Generalized Information Measures and Their Applications, Chapter in: *Advances in Electronics and Electron Physics*, Ed. P.W. Hawkes, Academic Press, **76**(1989), 327-413.
- [17] I.J. TANEJA, New Developments in Generalized Information Measures, Chapter in: *Advances in Imaging and Electron Physics*, Ed. P.W. Hawkes, **91**(1995), 37-136.
- [18] I.J. TANEJA, *Generalized Information Measures and their Applications* - On-line book: <http://www.mtm.ufsc.br/~taneja/book/book.html>, 2001.

- [19] I.J. TANEJA, Generalized Symmetric Divergence Measures and Inequalities - *RGMA Research Report Collection*, <http://rgmia.vu.edu.au>, **7**(4)(2004), Art. 9. Available on-line at: [arXiv:math.ST/0501301](http://arxiv.org/math/0501301) v1 19 Jan 2005.
- [20] I.J. TANEJA, Refinement Inequalities Among Symmetric Divergence Measures *The Australian Journal of Mathematical Analysis and Applications*, **2**(1)(2005), Art. 8, pp. 1-23. Available on-line at: [arXiv:math.PR/0501303](http://arxiv.org/math.PR/0501303) v1 19 Jan 2005.
- [21] I.J. TANEJA, Generalized Arithmetic and Geometric Mean Divergence Measures and Their Statistical Aspects – To appear in *Journal of Interdisciplinary Mathematics*. Available on-line at: [arXiv:math.PR/0501297](http://arxiv.org/math.PR/0501297) v1 19 Jan 2005.
- [22] I.J. TANEJA, Bounds on Triangular Discrimination, Harmonic Mean and Symmetric Chi-Square Divergences - To appear in *Journal of Concrete and Applicable Mathematics*. Available on-line at: [arXiv:math.PR/0505238](http://arxiv.org/math.PR/0505238) v1 12 May 2005.
- [23] I.J. TANEJA, Refinement Inequalities Among Means - Available on-line at: [arXiv:math.GM/0505192](http://arxiv.org/math.GM/0505192) v1 10 May 2005.

DEPARTAMENTO DE MATEMÁTICA, UNIVERSIDADE FEDERAL DE SANTA CATARINA, 88.040-900
FLORIANÓPOLIS, SC, BRAZIL

E-mail address: taneja@mtm.ufsc.br

URL: <http://www.mtm.ufsc.br/~taneja>